arXiv:0908.3577v1 [math.FA] 25 Aug 2009

APPROXIMATE WEAK AMENABILITY OF BANACH ALGEBRAS

G. H. Esslamzadeh and B. Shojaee

Abstract. In this paper we deal with three generalized notions of amenability, approximate, approximate weak and approximate n-weak amenability. The first two were introduced and studied by Ghahramani and Loy in [5]. We introduce the third one. Then we investigate some properties of Banach algebras in each of these classes. Also we consider approximate weak amenability and approximate n-weak amenability of second duals.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). Primary 46H25, 46H20; Secondary 46H35.

Keywords. Approximately inner derivation, Approximately weakly amenable, Approximately amenable, Approximate trace extension property.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [5] Ghahramani and Loy introduced the concepts of approximate amenability and approximate weak amenability of Banach algebras. In the same paper the authors showed the distinction between each of these concepts and the corresponding classical notions. Obviously if $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately amenable, then it is approximately weakly amenable. However the converse is not true. To see this, let F_2 be the free group with two generators. It is known that $\ell^1(F_2)$ is approximately weakly amenable but by [5, Theorem 3.2], it is not approximately amenable. If $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly amenable then it is approximately weakly amenable but the converse is not true as it was shown in [5, example 6.2]. In the case that $\mathcal{A}$ is commutative, $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly amenable if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately weakly amenable.

The main part of this work was undertaken while the second author was studying for PhD at the Islamic Azad University. The second author wishes to thank Islamic Azad University for the financial support.

The concept of n-weak amenability was introduced by Dales, Ghahramani and Gronbeak in [2], where the authors discussed various cases in which n-weak amenability implies m-weak amenability and some cases which the converse implications do not hold.

Motivated by these distinctions we study further approximate amenability and approximate weak amenability. Moreover we introduce and study approximately n-weak amenable Banach algebras and approximately cyclic amenable Banach algebras.

Before proceeding further we recall some terminology.

Throughout $\mathcal{A}$ is a Banach algebra and $\mathcal{X}$ is a Banach $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule. Also $\mathcal{A}$-module means Banach $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule. A linear mapping $D : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a derivation if $D(ab) = a.Db + Da.b$ for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. For any $x \in \mathcal{X}$, the mapping $\delta_x : a \longmapsto ax - xa$,$a \in \mathcal{A}$, is a continuous derivation which is called an inner derivation. Let $Z^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X})$ be the space of all continuous derivations from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{X}$ and $B^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X})$ be the space of all inner derivations from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{X}$. Then the first cohomology group of $\mathcal{A}$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{X}$ is the quotient space $H^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}) = Z^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X})/B^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X})$. For each $n \geq 1$, $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$, the n-th conjugate space of $\mathcal{A}$, is an $\mathcal{A}$-module, with the module actions defined inductively by

$$\langle u, F.a\rangle = \langle a.u, F\rangle \quad , \quad \langle u, a.F\rangle = \langle u.a, F\rangle \quad , F \in \mathcal{A}^{(n)}, u \in \mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}, a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

The second dual of a Banach algebra, equipped with the first [resp. second] Arens product is a Banach algebra. For the definitions of these products the reader may refer to [1]. We always consider the second dual of a Banach algebra with the first Arens product which we denote with $\square$.

A Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is called n-weakly amenable if $H^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{(n)}) = 0$. Usually, 1-weakly amenable Banach algebras are called weakly amenable. A derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ is called cyclic if $\langle Da, b\rangle + \langle a, Db\rangle = 0$, $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ is cyclic amenable if every cyclic derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ is inner.

A derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is called approximately inner if there exists a net $(x_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ such that $D(a) = \lim_\alpha (a.x_\alpha - x_\alpha.a) \quad (a \in \mathcal{A})$. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately amenable if for each Banach $\mathcal{A}$-module $\mathcal{X}$ every bounded derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}^*$

is approximately inner and it is approximately weakly amenable if every bounded derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ is approximately inner. $\mathcal{A}$ is called approximately cyclic amenable if every cyclic derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ is approximately inner.

2. APPROXIMATE WEAK AMENABILITY

Recall that $\mathcal{A}$ is called essential if $\overline{\mathcal{A}^2} = \mathcal{A}$. The following theorem can be proved by using the arguments of [2, proposition 1.3] and [1, Theorem 2.8.63] with proper modifications.

Theorem 2.1. Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately weakly amenable. Then,

(i) $\mathcal{A}$ is essential;

If $\mathcal{A}$ is commutative, then

(ii) $Z^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}) = \{0\}$ for every $\mathcal{A}$-module $\mathcal{X}$.

(iii) if $\theta : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a continuous homomorphism into the Banach algebra $\mathcal{B}$ such that $\overline{\theta(\mathcal{A})} = \mathcal{B}$, then $\mathcal{B}$ is approximately weakly amenable.

Definition. Let I be a closed ideal in $\mathcal{A}$. We say that I has the approximate trace extension property if for each $\lambda \in I^*$ with $a.\lambda = \lambda.a (a \in \mathcal{A})$ there is a net $(\tau_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^*$ such that

$$\tau_\alpha|_I = \lambda \text{ (for any } \alpha) \qquad \text{and} \qquad a.\tau_\alpha - \tau_\alpha.a \longrightarrow 0 \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Proposition 2.2. Let I be a closed ideal in $\mathcal{A}$.

(i) Suppose that I is weakly amenable and $\mathcal{A}/I$ is approximately weakly amenable. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately weakly amenable.

(ii) Suppose that $\mathcal{A}/I$ is approximately weakly amenable. Then I has the approximate trace extension property.

(iii) Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly amenable and I has the approximate trace extension property. Then $\mathcal{A}/I$ is approximately weakly amenable.

Proof. We adapt the argument of [1, Proposition 2.8.66].

(i) Suppose $\iota : I \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is the natural embedding and $D \in Z^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*)$. Then $\iota^* \circ D \circ \iota \in Z^1(I, I^*)$, and so, since I is weakly amenable, there exists $\lambda_1 \in I^*$ with

$$(\iota^* \circ D)(a) = \delta_{\lambda_1}(a) \quad (a \in I).$$

Extend λ_1 to be an element of A^* and call it again λ_1. By replacing D by $D-\delta_{\lambda_1}$, we suppose that $(\iota^* \circ D)|_I = 0$.

For $a, b \in I$ and $c \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\langle c, Dab\rangle = \langle ca, \iota \circ D(b)\rangle + \langle bc, \iota^*(a)\rangle = 0,$$

and hence $D|_{I^2} = 0$. By [1, Theorem 2.8.63(i)], $\overline{I^2} = I$, and so $D|_I = 0$. If we set $F = \overline{I\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}I}$, then $F = \overline{I^2} = I$. For each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b \in I$, we have $a.Db = Dab = 0$, and so $Da.b = 0$. Taking $c \in \mathcal{A}$, we get

$$\langle b.c, Da\rangle = \langle c, Da.b\rangle = 0$$

and so $Da|_{I\mathcal{A}} = 0$. Similarly $Da|_{\mathcal{A}I} = 0$, and hence $Da|_I = 0$. Thus $D(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq I^\perp$ and the map

$$\widetilde{D} : \mathcal{A}/I \longrightarrow I^\perp, \quad a + I \longmapsto Da$$

is a continuous derivation. By hypothesis $\mathcal{A}/I$ is approximately weakly amenable, and so there exists a net $(\lambda_\alpha) \subseteq I^\perp$ such that

$$Da = \lim_\alpha a.\lambda_\alpha - \lambda_\alpha.a \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Therefore

$$Da = \lim_\alpha a.(\lambda_\alpha + \lambda_1) - (\lambda_\alpha + \lambda_1).a \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

It now follows that $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately weakly amenable.

(ii) Take $\Lambda \in \mathcal{A}^*$ with $\Lambda|_I = \lambda$. Define

$$D : a + I \longmapsto a.\Lambda - \Lambda.a, \quad \mathcal{A}/I \longrightarrow I^\perp = (\mathcal{A}/I)^*.$$

We see immediately that $D \in Z^1(\mathcal{A}/I, (\mathcal{A}/I)^*)$. Since $\mathcal{A}/I$ is approximately weakly amenable, there exists a net $(\lambda_\alpha) \in I^\perp$ such that

$$D(a + I) = \lim_\alpha a.\lambda_\alpha - \lambda_\alpha.a \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Set $\tau_\alpha = \Lambda - \lambda_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^*$. Then

$$\tau_\alpha|_I = \lambda \text{ (for any } \alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_\alpha a.\tau_\alpha - \tau_\alpha.a = 0 \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

(iii) Suppose $\pi : A \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}/I$ is the quotient map and $D \in Z^1 \in (\mathcal{A}/I, (\mathcal{A}/I)^*)$. Set $\widetilde{D} = \pi^* \circ D \circ \pi$. Then $\widetilde{D} \in Z^1(A, A^*)$, and so there exists $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}^*$ with

$$\widetilde{D}a = a.\lambda - \lambda.a \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Clearly $\widetilde{D}a|_I = 0$ and hence $a.\lambda|_I = \lambda|_I.a$ $(a \in \mathcal{A})$. Thus by assumption there exists a net $(\tau_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^*$ such that for every α we have $\tau_\alpha|_I = \lambda|_I$ and

$$\lim_\alpha a.\tau_\alpha - \tau_\alpha.a = 0 \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Then $\lambda - \tau_\alpha \in I^\perp$ and

$$D(a+I) = \lim_\alpha a.(\lambda - \tau_\alpha) - (\lambda - \tau_\alpha).a \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Now it follows that $\mathcal{A}/I$ is approximately weakly amenable. $\square$

In the following Proposition we characterize approximately amenable Banach algebras.

Proposition 2.3. (i) The following conditions are equivalent;

(a) $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately amenable;

(b) For any $\mathcal{A}$-module $\mathcal{X}$, every bounded derivation $D : A \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}^{**}$ is approximately inner.

(ii) Suppose that $(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \square)$ is approximately amenable. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately amenable.

(iii) Suppose that $B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*) = W(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*)$ and that $(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \square)$ is approximately weakly amenable. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is Arens regular and approximately weakly amenable.

Proof. (i) $(a) \Longrightarrow (b)$ This is immediate.

$(b) \Longrightarrow (a)$. By [5, Theorem 2.1], it suffices to show that if $D \in Z^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X})$, then it is approximately inner.

We have $\iota \circ D \in Z^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}^{**})$, where $\iota : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}^{**}$ is the canonical embedding. By (b), there exists a net $(x_\alpha^{**}) \subseteq \mathcal{X}^{**}$ such that

$$\iota \circ D(a) = \lim_\alpha a.x_\alpha^{**} - x_\alpha^{**}.a \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Now take $\epsilon > 0$, and non-empty finite sets $F \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, $\phi \subseteq \mathcal{X}^*$. Then there is an α such that

$$|\langle x^*, \iota \circ D(a) - (a.x_\alpha^{**} - x_\alpha^{**}.a)\rangle| < \epsilon$$

for all $x^* \in \phi$ and $a \in F$. By Goldstine's theorem there is an $x_\alpha \in \mathcal{X}$ such that

$$|\langle x^*, \iota \circ D(a) - (a.x_\alpha - x_\alpha.a)\rangle| < \epsilon$$

for all $x^* \in \phi$ and $a \in F$. Thus there is a net $(x_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ such that

$$Da = \omega - \lim_\alpha a.x_\alpha - x_\alpha.a \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Finally, for each finite set $F \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, say $F = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$,

$$(a_1.x_\alpha - x_\alpha.a_1, \ldots, a_n.x_\alpha - x_\alpha.a_n) \longrightarrow (Da_1, \ldots, Da_n)$$

weakly in $\mathcal{X}^n$. By Mazure's Theorem,

$$(Da_1, \ldots, Da_n) \in \overline{Co^{\|.\|}}\{(a_1.x_\alpha - x_\alpha.a_1, \ldots, a_n.x_\alpha - x_\alpha.a_n)\}.$$

Thus there is a convex linear combination $x_{F,\epsilon}$ of elements of the set $\{x_\alpha\}$ such that

$$\|Da - (a.x_{(F,\epsilon)} - x_{(F,\epsilon)}.a)\| < \epsilon \quad (a \in F).$$

The family of such pairs (F, ϵ) is a directed set for the partial order $\leq$ given by

$$(F_1, \epsilon_1) \leq (F_2, \epsilon_2) \text{ if } F_1 \subseteq F_2 \text{ and } \epsilon_1 \geq \epsilon_2$$

and

$$Da = \lim_{(F,\epsilon)} a.x_{(F,\epsilon)} - x_{(F,\epsilon)}.a \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

(ii) Let $D \in Z^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}^*)$ for an $\mathcal{A}$-module $\mathcal{X}$. As in [2], $\mathcal{X}^{***}$ is an $(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \square)$-module and by [1, Proposition 2.7.17]

$$D^{**} : (\mathcal{A}^{**}, \square) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}^{***}$$

is a continuous derivation. So by assumption there exists a net $(\Lambda_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{X}^{***}$ such that

$$D^{**}\phi = \lim_\alpha \phi.\Lambda_\alpha - \Lambda_\alpha.\phi \quad (\phi \in \mathcal{A}^{**}).$$

Let $P : \mathcal{X}^{***} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}^*$ be the natural projection. Then

$$Da = P(Da) = \lim_\alpha a.P(\Lambda_\alpha) - P(\Lambda_\alpha).a \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}),$$

and so D is approximately inner. Therefore $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately amenable.

(iii) Let $D \in Z^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*)$. By [1, Proposition 2.8.59] $\mathcal{A}$ is Arens regular and

$$D^{**} : (\mathcal{A}^{**}, \square) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{***}$$

is a continuous derivation. By assumption there exists a net $(\Lambda_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{***}$ such that

$$Da = \lim_\alpha a.\Lambda_\alpha - \Lambda_\alpha.a \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Let $P : \mathcal{A}^{***} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ be the natural projection.Then

$$Da = \lim_\alpha a.P(\Lambda_\alpha) - P(\Lambda_\alpha).a \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Therefore $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately weakly amenable. □

Remark 2.4. By Proposition 2.1 (i) if $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately weakly amenable, then $\mathcal{A}^2$ is dense in $\mathcal{A}$. This is not the case if we replace approximate weak amenability with approximate cyclic amenability, as the following example shows. Therefore these two concepts are distinct. Indeed this example can be used also to show the distinction between weak and cyclic amenability.

Let $S = \{s, 0\}$ be the semigroup with binary operation, $s^2 = s0 = 0s = 0^2 = 0$. It is easy to see that every cyclic derivation $D : \ell^1(S) \longrightarrow \ell^\infty(S)$ is zero and hence $\ell^1(S)$ is a cyclic amenable Banach algebra. However $\overline{\ell^1(S)^2} = 0$.

3. APPROXIMATE N-WEAK AMENABILITY

Definition. Let n be a natural number. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately n-weak amenable if any continuous derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ is approximately inner; $\mathcal{A}$ is permanently approximately weakly amenable if $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately n-weak amenable for each $n \in N$.

The following observations are immediate consequences of the above definition.

(i) An approximately amenable Banach algebra is permanently approximately weakly amenable.

(ii) A commutative Banach algebra is n-weakly amenable if and only if approximately n-weak amenable.

(iii) A commutative Banach algebra is permanently approximately weakly amenable if and only if it is approximately weakly amenable.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately (n+2)-weak amenable. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately n-weak amenable.

Proof. Let $D \in Z^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^n)$. Then D can be viewed as an element of $Z^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{(n+2)})$, and so there exists a net $(\Lambda_\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}^{(n+2)}$ with

$$Da = \lim_\alpha a.\Lambda_\alpha - \Lambda_\alpha.a \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Let $P : \mathcal{A}^{(n+2)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ be the natural projection. Then

$$Da = P(Da) = \lim_\alpha a.P(\Lambda_\alpha) - P(\Lambda_\alpha).a \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

and so D is an approximately inner derivation. Thus $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately n-weak amenable. □

Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is not unital and $\mathcal{A}^\# = \mathbb{C}e \oplus \mathcal{A}$ is its unitization. Define $e^* \in \mathcal{A}^{\#^*}$ by requiring that $\langle e^*, e\rangle = 1$ and $e^*|_\mathcal{A} = 0$. Then we have the identifications

$$\mathcal{A}^{\#^{(2n)}} = \mathbb{C}e \oplus \mathcal{A}^{(2n)} \quad (n \in N),$$

$$\mathcal{A}^{\#^{(2n+1)}} = \mathbb{C}e^* \oplus \mathcal{A}^{(2n+1)} \quad (n \in Z^+).$$

The module actions of $\mathcal{A}^\#$ on $\mathcal{A}^{\#^{(2n+1)}}$ are given by

$$(\alpha e + a).(\gamma e^* + \lambda) = (\alpha\gamma + \langle\lambda, a\rangle)e^* + \alpha\lambda + a.\lambda,$$

$$(\gamma e^* + \lambda).(\alpha e + a) = (\alpha\gamma + \langle\lambda, a\rangle)e^* + \alpha\lambda + \lambda.a.$$

where $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}^\#$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}^{\#^{(2n+1)}}$. The assymetry of results for odd and even duals in the next proposition is due to the fact that $\mathcal{A}^{(2n+1)}$ is not a submodule of $\mathcal{A}^{\#^{(2n+1)}}$, but $\mathcal{A}^{(2n)}$ is a submodule of $\mathcal{A}^{\#^{(2n)}}$

Proposition 3.2. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a non-unital Banach algebra and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(i) If $\mathcal{A}^\#$ is approximately 2n-weak amenable, then $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately 2n-weak amenable.

(ii) If $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately (2n-1)-weak amenable, then $\mathcal{A}^\#$ is approximately (2n-1)-weak amenable.

(iii) Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is commutative. Then $\mathcal{A}^\#$ is approximately n-weak amenable if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately n-weak amenable.

Proof. We adapt the argument of [2, Proposition 1.4].

(i) Let $D \in Z^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{2n})$ and define

$$\widetilde{D} : \mathcal{A}^\# \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{2n}, \quad \alpha e + a \longmapsto Da,$$

Certainly $\widetilde{D}$ is a continuous derivation and hence can be viewed as an element of $Z^1(\mathcal{A}^{\#}, \mathcal{A}^{\#(2n)})$. So there exists a net $(\Lambda_\alpha^{'}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\#(2n)}$ such that

$$Da = \lim_\alpha a.\Lambda_\alpha^{'} - \Lambda_\alpha^{'}.a \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Using the identity $\mathcal{A}^{\#(2n)} = Ce^* \oplus \mathcal{A}^{(2n)}$ for every α we find $\Lambda_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^{(2n)}$ and $\gamma_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\Lambda_\alpha^{'} = \gamma_\alpha e^* + \Lambda_\alpha$ and so

$$Da = \lim_\alpha a.\Lambda_\alpha - \Lambda_\alpha.a$$

(ii) Let

$$D : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}e^* \oplus \mathcal{A}^{2n-1}, \quad a \longmapsto \langle a, \lambda\rangle e^* + \widetilde{D}(a)$$

be a continuous derivation. It is easy to see that $\widetilde{D} : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{2n-1}$ is a continuous derivation, and so there exists a net $(\Lambda_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{2n-1}$ such that

$$\widetilde{D}(a) = \lim_\alpha a.\Lambda_\alpha - \Lambda_\alpha.a \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Let $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \lambda, ab\rangle &= \langle \widetilde{D}b, a\rangle + \langle \widetilde{D}a, b\rangle \\ &= \lim_\alpha \langle b.\Lambda_\alpha - \Lambda_\alpha.b, a\rangle + \lim_\alpha \langle a.\Lambda_\alpha - \Lambda_\alpha.a, b\rangle \\ &= \lim_\alpha (\langle \Lambda_\alpha, ab\rangle - \langle \Lambda_\alpha, ba\rangle + \langle \Lambda_\alpha, ba\rangle - \langle \Lambda_\alpha, ab\rangle) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

So $\lambda|_{\mathcal{A}^2} = 0$. On the other hand by Theorem 3.1 $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately weakly amenable, and hence by Proposition 2.1(i), $\mathcal{A}^2$ is dense in$\mathcal{A}$. It follows that $\lambda = 0$, and so $D = \widetilde{D}$ is an approximately inner derivation.

(iii) This can be proved with an argument similar to [2, proposition 1.4(iii)]. □

Remark 3.3. From part (ii) of the preceding proposition we conclude that if $\mathcal{A}$ approximately weakly amenable then so is $\mathcal{A}^{\#}$; But we do not know whether its converse is true in general. Although in the case that $\mathcal{A}$ has a bounded approximate identity the converse is true. For approximate cyclic amenability the situation is more smooth as we see in the next proposition.

Proposition 3.4. Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is non-unital.

(i) $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately cyclic amenable if and only if $\mathcal{A}^{\#}$ is approximately cyclic amenable.

(ii) Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ has a bounded approximate identity. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately weakly amenable if and only if $\mathcal{A}^{\#}$ is approximately weakly amenable.

Proof. (i) Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately cyclic amenable. Then by Proposition 3.2 (ii) so is $\mathcal{A}^{\#}$. Conversely, let $D : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ be a cyclic derivation. As in the proof of [7. Proposition 2.1]

$$\widetilde{D} : \mathcal{A}^{\#} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{A}^{\#})^*, \quad \alpha e + a \longmapsto Da,$$

is a cyclic derivation; So there exists a net $\{a_\alpha^* + \lambda_\alpha\} \subset \mathcal{A}^* \oplus \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\widetilde{D}(a + \lambda) = \lim_\alpha (a + \lambda)(a_\alpha^* + \lambda_\alpha) - (a_\alpha^* + \lambda_\alpha)(a + \lambda) \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Therefore

$$Da = \lim_\alpha a.a_\alpha^* - a_\alpha^*.a \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

(ii) This follows from Proposition 3.2 (ii) and [7, corollary 2.2]. $\square$

The following theorem is a partial converse of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.5. Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately weakly amenable and $\mathcal{A}$ is an ideal in $(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \square)$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately (2n+1)-weak amenable for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We adapt the argument of [2, Proposition 1.13]. For $n \in Z^+$, we regard $\mathcal{A}^{(2n+2)}$ as the second dual of $(\mathcal{A}^{2n}, \square)$ taken with the first Arens product $\square$, and, for $m \leq n$, we regard $(\mathcal{A}^{2m}, \square)$ as a sub algebra of $(\mathcal{A}^{2n}, \square)$.

Fix $n \in N$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is an ideal in $(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \square)$ then for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, the operators L_a and R_a on $\mathcal{A}$ are weakly compact,and so the operators $L_a^{(2n)}$ and $R_a^{(2n)}$ are weakly compact on $(\mathcal{A}^{2n}, \square)$. Thus $a.\phi$,$\phi.a \in \mathcal{A}^{(2n-2)}$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{A}^{(2n)}$. Further, $a_1 \dots a_n.\phi$ and $\phi.a_1 \dots a_n$ belong to $\mathcal{A}$ for $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{A}^{(2n)}$. Let the map $P : \mathcal{A}^{(2n+1)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ be the natural projection. Then

$$\mathcal{A}^{(2n+1)} = \mathcal{A}^* \oplus \mathcal{A}^\perp$$

as $\mathcal{A}$-modules.

Suppose $D \in Z^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{(2n+1)})$. Then there are derivation $D_1 \in Z^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*)$ and $D_2 \in Z^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^\perp)$ such that

$$Da = D_1 a + D_2 a \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

By assumption D_1 is approximately inner, and so it suffices for the result to show that D_2 is approximately inner.

Let a,b$\in \mathcal{A}^{[n]}$where the later algebra is the n-th power of $\mathcal{A}$. For each $\phi \in \mathcal{A}^{(2n)}$ we have $\phi.a,\ b.\phi \in \mathcal{A}$ as we saw in the first paragraph and hence

$$\langle D_2(ab), \phi\rangle = \langle D_2a.b, \phi\rangle + \langle a.D_2b, \phi\rangle = \langle D_2a, b.\phi\rangle + \langle D_2b, \phi.a\rangle = 0$$

So $D_2(ab) = 0$. It follows that $D_2|_{\mathcal{A}^{[2n]}} = 0$. By Proposition 2.1 (i), $\mathcal{A}^{[2n]}$ is dense in $\mathcal{A}$, and so D_2=0. Therefore the result follows. □

The following Theorem is a generalization of [1, Proposition 2.8.59].

Theorem 3.6. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{(2n+1)}) = W(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{(2n+1)})$.

(i) Suppose that $(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \square)$ is (2n+1)-weakly amenable. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is (2n+1)-weakly amenable.

(ii)Suppose $(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \square)$ is approximately (2n+1)-weak amenable. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately (2n+1)-weak amenable.

Proof. (i) Suppose $D \in Z^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{(2n+1)})$. Take $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$, $\Gamma \in \mathcal{A}^{(2n+2)}$ and bounded nets (a_α) and (b_β) in $\mathcal{A}$ with

$$a_\alpha \longrightarrow \phi \quad and \quad b_\beta \longrightarrow \psi \quad in\sigma(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \mathcal{A}^{*}).$$

We have

$$D^{**}(\phi\square\psi) = \lim_\alpha \lim_\beta (D(a_\alpha).b_\beta + a_\alpha.D(b_\beta)).$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_\alpha \lim_\beta \langle D(a_\alpha).b_\beta, \Gamma\rangle &= \lim_\alpha \lim_\beta \langle D(a_\alpha), b_\beta\square\Gamma\rangle \\ &= \lim_\alpha \langle D(a_\alpha), \psi\square\Gamma\rangle \\ &= \langle D^{**}(\phi), \psi\square\Gamma\rangle \\ &= \langle D^{**}(\phi).\psi, \Gamma\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$\lim_\alpha \lim_\beta \langle a_\alpha.D(b_\beta), \Gamma\rangle = \langle \phi.D^{**}(\psi), \Gamma\rangle.$$

Thus $D^{**} \in Z^1(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \mathcal{A}^{(2n+3)})$ and so since $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ is (2n+1)-weakly amenable, there exists $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}^{(2n+3)}$ such that

$$D^{**}(\phi) = \phi.\lambda - \lambda.\phi \quad (\phi \in \mathcal{A}^{**}).$$

If $P : \mathcal{A}^{(2n+3)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(2n+1)}$ is the natural projection, then

$$D(a) = a.P(\lambda) - P(\lambda).a \quad (a \in \mathcal{A})$$

Therefore $\mathcal{A}$ is (2n+1)-weakly amenable.

(ii) Suppose $D \in Z^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{(2n+1)})$. As in part (i) $D^{**} \in Z^1(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \mathcal{A}^{(2n+3)})$. Thus there exists a net $(\lambda_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{2n+3}$ such that

$$D^{**}(\phi) = \lim_\alpha \phi.\lambda_\alpha - \lambda_\alpha.\phi \quad (\phi \in \mathcal{A}^{**}).$$

If $P : \mathcal{A}^{(2n+3)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(2n+1)}$ is the natural projection, then

$$D(a) = \lim_\alpha a.P(\lambda_\alpha) - P(\lambda_\alpha).a \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Therefore $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately (2n+1)-weak amenable. □

References

[1] H. G. Dales, Banach algebras and automatic continuity, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2000.

[2] H. G. Dales, F. Gahramani, and N. Gronbeak, Derivations into iterated duals of Banach algebras, studia mathematica 128 (1) (1998), 19-53.

[3] H. G. Dales, F. Ghahramani and A. Ya. Helemskii, The amenability of measure algebras, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 66 (2002), 213-226.

[4] F. Ghahramani and A. T. Lau, And V. Losert, Isometric isomorphisms between Banach algebras related to locally compact groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 321 (1990),273-283.

[5] F. Ghahramani and R. J. Loy, Generalized notions of amenability, J. Funct. Anal. 208 (2004),229-260.

[6] F. Ghahramani, R. J. Loy and Y. Zhang, Generalized notions of amenability II, J. Funct. Anal. 254 (2008), 1776-1810.

[7] N. Gronbaek, Weak and cyclic amenability for non-commutative Banach algebras, Proc. Edinburgh. Math. Soc. 35 (1992), 315-328.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to Professor F. Ghahramani for his valuable comments.

G. H. Esslamzadeh
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Shiraz University, Shiraz 71454, Iran
e-mail: esslamz@shirazu.ac.ir

B. Shojaee
Islamic Azad University of Karadj, Karadj, Iran
e-mail: shoujaei@kiau.ac.ir